

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,981	09/28/2001	Diane K. Smith	47117/14489	5174	
23380	7590 05/14/2003				
ARTER & HADDEN, LLP			EXAMINER		
925 EUCLID	_		PWU, JEF	FREY C	
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1475			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3628		
			DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/965,981	SMITH, DIANE K.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey Pwu	3628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> </ol>						
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d)  they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · · · · ·					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
		JEFFREY PWU PRIMARY EXAMINE				
		PRIMARY				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There is insufficient time to properly consider the sufficiency of the affidavit evidence filed on 4/2/2003 as such that the affidavit is not being considered at this time..